



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/768,977

01/30/2004

Stephen Arnold

Poly-50/APP

4537

26479

7590

02/26/2010

STRAUB & POKOTYLO

788 Shrewsbury Avenue

TINTON FALLS, NJ 07724

EXAMINER

CROW, ROBERT THOMAS

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1634

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/26/2010

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/768,977	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ARNOLD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Robert T. Crow	<b>Art Unit</b> 1634	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Robert T. Crow.

(2) John Pokotylo.

**Date of Interview:** 25 February 2010

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** Rejected

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*None*

Claims discussed:  
*6-7, 19-20, and 39*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*None*

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*The examiner contacted Applicant's representative to inform Applicant that claim 39 is missing from the response filed 16 November 2009. In addition, the examiner indicated that claims 6-7 and 19-20 are missing periods at the end of the claims. The examiner also stated that if a supplemental amendment was not received by close of business on 3 March 2010, and Notice of Non-compliant Amendment would be mailed.*

  

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/Robert T. Crow/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)